

**BY ORDER OF THE CHIEF,
NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU**



**AIR NATIONAL GUARD POLICY
DIRECTIVE 90-2100**

15 MAY 2003

Command Policy

**COMPLIANCE AND STANDARDIZATION
REQUIREMENT LIST (C&SRL)
MAINTENANCE GROUP COMMANDER
(MXG/CC) RESPONSIBILITIES**

NOTICE: This publication is available digitally on the AFDPO WWW site at:
<http://www.e-publishing.af.mil>

OPR: ANG/LGMM (CMSgt D. Riese)
Supersedes ANGPD 90-2100, 11 September 1998

Certified by: NGB/CF (Col M. Hillestad)
Pages: 13
Distribution: F

This directory implements Air Force Policy Directive (AFPD) 90-2, *The Inspection System*, and is applicable to all Air National Guard (ANG) flying units. Compliance with this directory and its parent instruction Air National Guard Instruction (ANGI) 21-101, *Maintenance Management of Aircraft*, is mandatory. Units will supplement this publication with items developed from appropriate technical data, Air Force Occupational Safety and Health (AFOSH) Standards (STD), local operating instructions (OI), etc., to assess internal compliance. Higher Headquarters/Inspector General (HHQ/IG) may use this directory in whole or in part during evaluations and exercises.

SUMMARY OF REVISIONS

This document is substantially revised and must be completely reviewed.

1. The items listed do not constitute the order or limit the scope of the inspection/assessment. As a minimum, units will use this directory in conjunction with the annual unit self-inspection. The objective is to identify deficiencies that preclude attainment of required capabilities.

Table 1. Maintenance Group Commander (MXG/CC) Responsibilities

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.	Maintenance Group Commander (MXG/CC) Responsibilities.			
1.1.	Does the MXG/CC oversee the career progression of maintenance officers and senior noncommissioned officers (SNCOs)? (1.15.1.)			
1.2.	Does the MXG/CC coordinate on all wing policies affecting maintenance operations? (1.15.2.)			
1.3.	Does the MXG/CC ensure standardization of maintenance discipline, procedures, organizational structures, compliance, and management philosophy? (2.3.1.1.)			
1.4.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that maintenance training throughout the respective group is accomplished according to the published (monthly) training plan and the awaiting and overdue backlogs are kept to a minimum? (2.3.1.2.)			
1.5.	Does the MXG/CC exercise oversight authority for all maintenance training? (2.3.1.2.)			
1.6.	Does the MXG/CC provide readiness status to the OG/CC of personnel and equipment available to support ACS requirements? (2.3.1.3.)			
1.7.	Does the MXG/CC determine Agile Combat Support (ACS) requirements and take any necessary actions to acquire additional support or equipment as required prior to deployment? (2.3.1.3.)			
1.8.	Does the MXG/CC delegate the necessary authority for support and production activities to perform assigned tasks? (2.3.1.4.)			
1.9.	Does the MXG/CC rotate personnel, as necessary, to enhance mission and develop individual experience and knowledge? (2.3.1.5.)			
1.10.	Does the MXG/CC control assignment of respective group facilities? (2.3.1.6.)			
1.11.	Has the MXG/CC established a vehicle control program for the group? (2.3.1.7.)			
1.12.	Does the MXG/CC provide for management of the financial program? (2.3.1.8.)			
1.13.	Does the MXG/CC promote unit self-sufficiency through the use of maintenance resources according to TO 00-25-195? (2.3.1.9.)			
1.14.	Has the MXG/CC established a QA program? (2.3.1.10.)			
1.15.	Does the MXG/CC promote unit repair enhancement through the effective use of maintenance resources according to AFI 21-123, TO 00-25-195, and TO 00-20-3? (2.3.1.11.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.16.	Has the MXG/CC developed an impoundment program according to Chapter 11? (2.3.1.12.)			
1.17.	Does the MXG/CC ensure procedures to properly turn in recoverable and consumable items are followed according to AFMAN 23-110, <i>USAF Supply Manual</i> ? (2.3.1.13.)			
1.18.	Has the MXG/CC established a means to review repeat/recurring, and cannot duplicate (CND) discrepancies or problem aircraft and systems, and perform periodic reviews to identify and direct resolution of trends? (2.3.1.14.)			
1.19.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that repair cost evaluations are performed and appropriate levels of review and repair authorization are established in squadrons, flights, and repair sections in accordance with (IAW) TOs 00-20-3, 00-25-240, <i>Uniformed Repair/Replacement Criteria for USAF Support Equipment (SE)</i> , and 35-1-25, <i>Economic Repair Criteria for Support Equipment (SE)</i> ? (2.3.1.15.)			
1.20.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that a records management program is established according to AFI 37-138, <i>Records Disposition-Procedures and Responsibilities</i> , AFMAN 37-123, <i>Management of Records</i> , and AFMAN 37-139, <i>Records Disposition Schedule</i> ? (2.3.1.16.)			
1.21.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that a compliance-structured self-inspection program is established IAW Chapter 18? (2.3.1.17.)			
1.22.	Does the MXG/CC ensure, when applicable, strict adherence to command war reserve materiel (WRM) missile and precision-guided munitions (PGM) control policy IAW AFI 21-201? (2.3.1.18.)			
1.23.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that all personnel assigned to maintenance are used to accomplish critical wartime tasks, tank build-up, and munitions build-up before releasing them for non-maintenance duties? (2.3.1.19.)			
1.24.	Did the MXG/CC establish and ensure a strong and positive, routine interface between MDSA and QA? (2.3.1.20.)			
1.25.	Does the MXG/CC ensure effective use of the Air Force Engineering and Technical Services/Contracting Engineering Team Specialists (AFETS/CETS) according to AFI 21-110, <i>Engineering and Technical Services, Management and Control</i> ? (2.3.1.21.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.26.	Has the MXG/CC established local OIs for management and maintenance of assigned ground training aircraft to ensure they remain useful and safe within guidelines stated in AFI 84-103, <i>Museum System</i> , and AFMAN 23-110, <i>USAF Supply Manual</i> ? (2.3.1.22.)			
1.27.	Does the MXG/CC ensure an oil analysis program (OAP) is established IAW ANGI 21-105, <i>Corrosion Control, Nondestructive Inspection, and Oil Analysis Program</i> ? (2.3.1.23.)			
1.28.	Does the MXG/CC ensure the group's UTC requirements are reviewed and annually briefed to senior maintenance supervision, to include all senior NCOs? (2.3.1.24.)			
1.29.	Does the MXG/CC provide aircraft, personnel, and equipment to support the maintenance-training program? (2.3.1.25.)			
1.30.	Does the MXG/CC quarterly review maintenance limiting factors (LIMFACS), shortfalls, and simulation requests? (2.3.1.26.)			
1.31.	Does the MXG/CC approve depot-level assistance requests (TO 00-25-107) after they are coordinated with PS&D, QA, all applicable maintenance organizations, and the ANG functional manager? (2.3.1.27.)			
1.32.	Does the MXG/CC review the wing's proposed annual maintenance and flying hour/test programs prior to submission to the ANG? (2.3.1.28.)			
1.33.	Does the MXG/CC coordinate with the base fire department, safety, and airfield operations in developing adverse weather OIs for protecting aircraft and equipment (AFOSH STD 91-100, <i>Aircraft Flight Line-Ground Operations and Activities</i> , AFI 10-229, <i>Responding to Severe Weather Events</i> , and specific assigned aircraft TOs)? (2.3.1.29.)			
1.34.	Has the MXG/CC institutionalized Operational Risk Management (ORM) within the workplace? (2.3.1.30.)			
1.35.	Does the MXG/CC ensure compliance with all applicable Air Force Occupational Safety and Health (AFOSH) standards? (2.3.1.31.)			
1.36.	Has the MXG/CC established minimum levels for essential maintenance assets to include aircraft, engines, pods, AGE, vehicles, etc.? (2.3.1.32.)			
1.37.	Does the MXG/CC ensure a nuclear surety program is viable and implemented in nuclear tasked units? (2.3.1.33.)			
1.38.	Does the MXG/CC ensure squadrons comply with provisions in AFI 10-201, <i>Status of Resources and Training Systems</i> ? (2.3.1.34.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.39.	Does the MXG/CC coordinate with the OG/CC as required to ensure accurate, timely reporting of readiness capability? (2.3.1.34.)			
1.40.	Does the MXG/CC ensure organizational compliance with all Federal, State and local laws pertaining to environmental regulations and pollution prevention? (2.3.1.35.)			
1.41.	Does the MXG/CC ensure aircraft maintenance data is accurate by establishing and supporting a data integrity team (DIT)? (2.3.1.36.)			
1.42.	Does the MXG/CC ensure members assigned to the DIT are suitably qualified and provided sufficient time to accurately assess the data? (2.3.1.36.)			
1.43.	Does the MXG/CC ensure each aircraft maintenance workcenter performs a review of all documentation entered into G081/CAMS daily IAW TO 00-20-2, <i>Maintenance Data Documentation</i> ? (2.3.1.36.)			
1.44.	Does the MXG/CC provide oversight of, and coordinate on, all initiatives to change published maintenance instructions, mission directives, etc.? (2.3.1.37.)			
1.45.	Does the MXG/CC ensure fire extinguisher, hazard communication (HAZCOM), and appropriate ancillary training programs are established for MXG personnel performing on-/off-equipment maintenance duties? (2.3.1.38.)			
1.46.	Does the MXG/CC ensure Squadron/Flight commanders and supervisors at all levels are responsible for the Vehicle Management Program, ensuring compliance with the provisions of AFI 24-301, <i>Vehicle Operations</i> , and AFPAM 24-317, <i>Vehicle Control</i> ? (2.3.1.39.)			
1.47.	Does the MXG/CC determine who conducts and tracks the orientation training for all personnel newly assigned to all unit maintenance/activities? (2.3.1.40.)			
1.48.	Does the MXG/CC approve the user identified test measurement and diagnostic equipment (TMDE) "priority" listing? (2.3.1.41.)			
1.49.	Does the MXG/CC ensure training requests identified on AF 898, Field Training Requirements Scheduling Document, are coordinated and approved? (2.3.1.42.)			
1.50.	Does the MXG/CC ensure the publication library or publication sets, including technical orders are established? (2.3.1.43.)			
1.51.	Does the MXG/CC designate a focal point for all functional, technical, and Quality Assurance matters pertaining to contract aircraft maintenance (as required)? (2.3.1.44.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.52.	Has the MXG/CC established tool issue and control OIs to include FOD prevention, security, control, and accountability IAW Chapter 13? (2.3.1.45.)			
1.53.	Has the MXG/CC established tool issue and control OIs that address tool inventory procedures, methods of tool identification, and lost or missing tool procedures? (2.3.1.45.)			
1.54.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that a focal point is identified as the MXG Environmental Coordinator for all installation weapon system Environmental, Safety, and Occupational Health requirements, compliance, and worker protection issues? (2.3.1.46.)			
1.55.	Has the MXG/CC developed a 10-year facility plan specifying maintenance, upgrade, and replacement projections for the group's facilities? (2.3.1.47.)			
1.56.	Does the MXG/CC update and coordinate 10-year facility plan with the Civil Engineer annually? (2.3.1.47.)			
1.57.	Does the MXG/CC coordinate and prioritize group maintenance facility work orders monthly? (2.3.1.47.)			
1.58.	Does the MXG/CC ensure the protection and security of aircraft, equipment and facilities? (2.3.1.48.)			
1.59.	Does the MXG/CC monitor the assignment and use of all maintenance personnel to ensure equitable distribution of skilled people? (2.3.1.49.)			
1.60.	Does the MXG/CC ensure all personnel assigned to Maintenance are used to accomplish critical wartime tasks before releasing them for non-maintenance duties? (2.3.1.49.)			
1.61.	Does the MXG/CC act as the approval authority for "all systems" Red X and/or In-Process Inspection (IPI) certifications? (2.3.1.50.)			
1.62.	Does the MXG/CC sign the Special Certification Roster (SCR)? (2.3.1.51.)			
1.63.	Has the MXG/CC assigned a manager for the engine-run, qualification/certification program IAW AFI 11-218, <i>Aircraft Operation and Movement on the Ground</i> ? (2.3.1.52.)			
1.64.	Has the MXG/CC appointed, when required, a Wing Weapons Manager (WWM)? (2.3.1.53.)			
1.65.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that no maintenance is performed by personnel who are not properly trained and certified, unless under the direct supervision of a trainer or certifier? (2.3.1.54.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.66.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that anyone performing maintenance utilizes an AF 623 and Career Field Education and Training Plan (CFETP) or automated training products to provide a record of qualification, regardless of military rank or civilian grade? (2.3.1.55.)			
1.67.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that QA has access to JEDMICS in accordance with ANGI 21-407? (2.3.1.56.)			
1.68.	Has the MXG/CC assigned a primary and alternate POC and have they been identified to the ANG Program Manager for coordination in accordance with ANGI 21-407? (2.3.1.56.)			
1.69.	Does the MXG/CC ensure an OI has been established for controlling, security, distribution, and destruction of engineering data in all media forms? (2.3.1.57.)			
1.70.	Has the MXG/CC established an OI to ensure coordination occurs between unit/squadron debriefing sections and the maintenance operations center (MOC) for each sortie or abort? (2.3.1.59.)			
1.71.	Has the MXG/CC developed a maintenance group (MXG) OI for Red Ball maintenance to include parts delivery, maintenance procedures, documentation methods, and follow-on actions IAW Chapter 18 of ANGI 21-101? (2.3.1.60.)			
1.72.	Does the MXG/CC ensure compliance with the impoundment procedures IAW Chapter 11 of this instruction? (2.3.1.61.)			
1.73.	Does the MXG/CC ensure effective management of weight and balance (W&B) program IAW Chapter 10 of this instruction? (2.3.1.62.)			
1.74.	Does the MXG/CC ensure effective management of the wing's Engine Trending and Diagnostic (ET&D) program? (2.3.1.63.)			
1.75.	Has the MXG/CC ensured operations squadrons not possessing 2W1X1 personnel establish a chaff/flare training program? (2.3.1.64.)			
1.76.	Does the MXG/CC ensure the established chaff/flare training program includes academic, explosive safety, and load/unload training? (2.3.1.64.)			
1.77.	Does the MXG/CC ensure oversight is provided for microcomputer systems management for the maintenance complex? (2.3.1.65.)			
1.78.	Does the MXG/CC enable use of the Enterprise Data Warehouse EDW: https://edw.wpafb.af.mil/wi/ and C4RDonline: https://web2.ssg.gunter.af.mil/ilm/c4rd/current.asp . (2.3.1.66.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.79.	Does the MXG/CC review and approve, coordinating with the OG/CC, the weekly, monthly, quarterly, and annual flying/test schedules? (2.3.1.67.)			
1.80.	Has the MXG/CC established an OI for reconciling training munitions issued for flightline requirements (AFI 36-2217, <i>Munitions Requirements for Aircrew Training</i>)? (2.3.1.68.)			
1.81.	Has the MXG/CC established effective sortie generation operations procedures IAW Chapter 18.28? (2.3.1.69.)			
1.82.	Does the MXG/CC ensure effective Aircraft Structural Integrity Program (ASIP) is established IAW AFI 63-1001, <i>Aircraft Structural Integrity Program</i> ? (2.3.1.70.)			
1.83.	Has the MXG/CC established effective Functional Check Flight (FCF), Operational Check Flight (OCF), and High Speed Taxi Check programs? (2.3.1.71.)			
1.84.	Does the MXG/CC ensure plans, scheduling, and documentation (PS&D) responsibilities outlined in Chapter 15 are accomplished? (2.3.1.72.)			
1.85.	Does the MXG/CC ensure the maintenance capability is considered in development of the flying program? (2.3.1.73.)			
1.86.	Has the MXG/CC established the capability to perform aircraft cross servicing (ACS), when tasked IAW Chapter 18? (2.3.1.74.)			
1.87.	Has the MXG/CC designated a cross servicing manager (CSM) to administer day-to-day program requirements. (18.8.3.1.)			
1.88.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that maintenance requirements [e.g., aircraft turnaround, alternate fuel cell, hot refueling, end-of-runway (EOR) check area, engine run spots, explosive load (cargo) areas] are included in the base parking plan? (2.3.1.75.)			
1.89.	Has the MXG/CC established procedures for maintenance OI program management and administration? (2.3.1.76.)			
1.90.	Does the MXG/CC oversee the development and publication of all maintenance-related OIs? (2.3.1.76.)			
1.91.	Has the MXG/CC determined in an OI if intake inspections are required after each engine operation (i.e., taxi, INS alignment, sortie cancellation, abort, etc.)? (18.9.1.)			
1.92.	Does the MXG/CC Control the duty assignment of newly assigned maintenance officers and superintendents? (2.3.1.77.)			
1.93.	Does the MXG/CC ensure career development and training of maintenance officers IAW AFI 36-2611, <i>Officer Professional Development</i> ? (2.3.1.77.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.94.	Does the MXG/CC manage the hangar queen program, if applicable? (2.3.1.78.)			
1.95.	Has the MXG/CC established crash damaged or disabled aircraft recovery (CDDAR) capability in accordance with applicable mission design series technical data? (2.3.1.79.)			
1.96.	Does the MXG/CC ensure resources and trained personnel are available to perform responsibilities of CDDAR? (2.3.1.79.)			
1.97.	Does the MXG/CC function as the wing OPR for the Intermediate Repair Enhancement Program (IREP)? (2.3.1.80.)			
1.98.	Has the MXG/CC established an OI on individual responsibilities and specific procedures for cannibalization (CANN) actions (Chapter 18)? (2.3.1.81.)			
1.99.	Does the MXG/CC manage the wing's maintenance training program to include course development content, ancillary, qualification, and maintenance training activities? (2.3.1.82.)			
1.100.	Does the MXG/CC ensure monthly training schedules are published outlining specific aircraft course and equipment requirements? (2.3.1.82.)			
1.101.	Does the MXG/CC have overall responsibility for the training of maintenance personnel? (2.3.1.82.)			
1.102.	Does the MXG/CC ensure training requests identified on AF 898, <i>Field Training Requirements Scheduling Document</i> , are coordinated and approved? (2.3.1.82.)			
1.103.	Does the MXG/CC approve the monthly maintenance and training plans? (2.3.1.83.)			
1.104.	Does the MXG/CC exercise overall responsibility for ensuring standardized inspection and maintenance procedures in the wing? (2.3.1.84.)			
1.105.	Does the MXG/CC outline procedures in maintenance operating instructions (OI)? (2.3.1.84.)			
1.106.	Has the MXG/CC established the wing logistics awards and recognition program to meet Air Force and ANG requirements (AFI 36-2818 <i>USAF Logistics Awards Program</i>)? (2.3.1.85.)			
1.107.	Has the MXG/CC established a local manufacture OI for procedures and controls? (2.3.1.86.)			
1.108.	Does the MXG/CC ensure that the unit has implemented an effective Corrosion Prevention and Control Program IAW ANGI 21-105? (2.3.1.87.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.109.	Did the MXG/CC publish an OI outlining local corrosion prevention and control policies and procedures? (2.3.1.87.1.)			
1.110.	Did the MXG/CC designate a SNCO with appropriate technical background and corrosion control experience to serve as the unit corrosion prevention and control manager? (2.3.1.87.2.)			
1.111.	Has the MXG/CC established a ramp inspection program IAW Chapter 18 (if tasked)? (2.3.1.88.)			
1.112.	Does the MXG/CC manage the wing engine Lead the Fleet (Pacer) Program established for that engine type IAW the following documents: MOUs, MOAs, Propulsion Center of-Excellence Best Practice 01-14, https://www.asc.wpafb.af.mil/asc/lp/pcoe/best_practices.htm , and AFI 21-104, <i>Selective Management of Selected Gas Turbine Engines</i> ? (2.3.1.89.1.)			
1.113.	Did the MXG/CC appoint an officer or NCO as the Aircraft Information Program (AIP) project officer? (2.3.1.90.)			
1.114.	Does the MXG/CC ensure an effective Aircraft Information Program (AIP) IAW paragraph 18.25 of ANGI 21-101 has been established? (2.3.1.90.)			
1.115.	Does the MXG/CC ensure effective measures are in place to capture data for the AIP? (2.3.1.90.)			
1.116.	Does the Munitions Accountable Systems Officer (MASO) coordinate with the MXG/CC or the weapons directorate director to establish unit procedures to reconcile issued training munitions? (4.12.1.)			
1.117.	Has the MXG/CC or OG/CC appointed a Unit Engine Run Program Manager, preferably within the engine shop? (4.13.2.13.)			
1.118.	Has the MXG/CC designated a TMDE coordinator for liaison between the unit and the servicing TMDE laboratory? (4.14.)			
1.119.	Has the MXG/CC established local directives so unit TMDE is controlled calibrated, repaired, and certified IAW published directives (4.14.)			
1.120.	Has the MXG/CC ensured that a host tenant agreement with the servicing PMEL has been accomplished, when required? (4.14.)			
1.121.	Has the MXG/CC appointed a Stock Record Account Number (SRAN) Engine Manger? (5.4.20.)			
1.122.	Has the MXG/CC established a debriefing function? (5.11.)			
1.123.	Does the MXG/CC approve In-Process Inspections (IPI) prior to publication? (7.7. and 10.6.14.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.124.	Does the MXG/CC authorize removing tasks from the QAP Routine Inspection List (RIL) before the tasks are removed from the RIL? (10.9.1.3.8.)			
1.125.	Does the MXG/CC attend QAP meetings? (10.14.)			
1.126.	Has the MXG/CC appointed impoundment authorities and impoundment release authorities? (11.1.)			
1.127.	If the MXG/CC has delegated impoundment release authority, has it been documented in writing and tracked on the SCR? (11.2.4.)			
1.128.	Has the MXG/CC designated in writing those personnel authorized to impound aircraft/equipment and is it tracked on the SCR? (11.2.5.)			
1.129.	Has the MXG/CC developed an operating instruction to implement the tool and equipment management programs? (13.2.1.)			
1.130.	Does the MXG/CC or designated representative approve locally manufactured or developed tools and equipment? (13.6.)			
1.131.	Has the MXG/CC appointed a 7/9-level individual with a maintenance AFSC to perform Weapons Task Qualification Training Manager (WTQM) duties? (14.1.)			
1.132.	Does the MXG/CC approve the annual flying plan before being approved by the WG/CC? (15.5.)			
1.133.	Has the MXG/CC, in coordination with the OG/CC, developed specific procedures to record and coordinate changes to the weekly schedule using an AF 2407, Weekly /Daily Flying Schedule Coordination, or locally devised or computer generated products? (15.10.3.9.)			
1.134.	Does the MXG/CC or designated representative decide what partial loads may be accomplished on aircraft that are not fully loaded during Force Generation (FG)? (16.22.2.)			
1.135.	Has the MXG/CC designated CANN authorities? (18.5.4.)			
1.136.	Has the MXG/CC appointed maintenance personnel, instructors and certifiers in writing and tracked on the SCR for aircraft inlet/exhaust certification? (18.9.2.)			
1.137.	Has the MXG/CC or designated representative selected maintenance instructors or AETC TD instructors to provide borescope training? (18.11.3.)			
1.138.	Has the MXG/CC certified borescope certifying officials and ensured they are tracked in the SCR? (18.11.4.)			
1.139.	Has the MXG/CC designated certifying officials for engine blade blending and ensured they are tracked in the SCR? (18.12.5.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.140.	Does the MXG/CC, as part of the Flash Blindness Protective Device Maintenance Program, ensure effective aircraft thermal protective device maintenance is accomplished according to applicable aircraft technical manuals, maintenance directives, and the requirements of this section? (18.14.1.)			
1.141.	Has the MXG/CC designated an OPR with overall responsibility to ensure adequate communications are available and manage the non-tactical radio program? (18.16.1.)			
1.142.	Has the MXG/CC designated a unit OPR for hot refuel training? (18.17.12.1.)			
1.143.	Has the MXG/CC ensured adequate equipment resources are available to perform Maintenance Recovery Team (MRT) remote recoveries? (18.18.1.1.)			
1.144.	Does the MXG/CC ensure enough individuals selected for MRTs are qualified to perform and complete all anticipated tasks? (18.18.1.2.)			
1.145.	Has the MXG/CC ensured sufficient personnel have official government passports to reduce deployment limitations and ensure adequate support of MRT taskings? (18.18.1.3.)			
1.146.	Does the MXG/CC ensure Self-Inspection Program non-compliance items are tracked until resolved, waived, or limiting factors (LIMFAC) are reported formally? (18.21.2.)			
1.147.	Does the MXG/CC chair the FOD Prevention Committee Meeting in the absence of the CV? (18.23.10.)			
1.148.	Has the MXG/CC in coordination with the OG/CC established a lost object program? (18.23.2.20.)			
1.149.	Does the unit safety office coordinate with the MXG/CC to report dropped object incidents and help with part number identification and associated costs? (18.23.11.4.1.)			
1.150.	Has the MXG/CC appointed a Radar Warning Receiver (RWR) / Radar Threat Warning Testing (RTHW) manager? (18.24.1.)			
1.151.	Has the MXG/CC ensured the development of an effective engine run certification program? (18.26.1.)			
1.152.	Has the MXG/CC appointed a Unit Engine Run Program Manager for the management and development of the engine run certification program? (18.26.1.1.)			
1.153.	Has the MXG/CC appointed an IFF Mode IV Program managers? (18.27.)			

ITEM NO.	ITEM AND REFERENCES (All references are to ANGI 21-101 unless otherwise indicated)	YES	NO	N/A
1.154.	Does the MXG/CC ensure training is provided for augmentees from base support agencies to support SGOs? (18.28.5.2.1.)			
1.155.	Does the MXG/CC ensure SGO proficiency training exercises are conducted as determined by Wing commanders? (18.28.5.2.2.)			
1.156.	Does the MXG/CC coordinate refueling and munitions requirements with the OG/CC prior to each scheduled SGO exercise? (18.28.5.2.2.)			
1.157.	Does the MXG/CC determine the number of aircraft scheduled to optimize aircrew and sortie generation training? (18.28.8.3.)			

DANIEL JAMES III, Lieutenant General, USAF
Director, Air National Guard